



Horizon 2020 Policy Support Facility

Participation in the MLE on
Alignment and National
Coordination:
Swedish experience
28 / 06 / 2017

Pontus Holm



Vetenskapsrådet

Starting point for Sweden

- Actively involved in a wide range of P2Ps, including all 10 JPIs.
- New government research bill
 - More base funding to universities
 - National research programs
 - Strategic collaborative innovation programs
 - Biobanks, registers and clinical research
 - Open access
 - Etc...
- Active participants of IG2 (2015)

Building on the results from IG2

-Mapping exercise

- General lack of communication channels between the involved national players
- Seven national JPI policy and reporting guidelines:
 - High level of national commitment
 - Overarching inclusive national strategy
 - Using the national budget as an instrument

Building on the results from IG2

-Roles in the national JPI process

Concern of the government:

- Commitment to the joint programming process in the context of ERA
- Make the national JPI engagement an integrated part of the national research system
- Financial support and steering
- Active participation in GPC etc etc etc

Support to the government from the national JPI reps:

- Sharing best practice, continuous updates
- Identifying obstacles that cannot be solved at the individual JPI level
- Highlight benefits for society
- Involving various stakeholders etc etc etc

Building on the results from IG2

-Communication government-JPIs

- Coordination at all levels
- A national ministries/JPIs working group should exchange experiences which will constitute the basis for policy making
- All relevant ministries have the joint responsibility to formulate a common JPI national policy
- The GPC representative(s) should participate in/drive the national JPI governance coordination

MLE: General points

- Structured, professional MLE leadership
- Good mix of participating countries.
- Learning from examples as well as discussions
- Common shortcomings

MLE: Lessons for Sweden

- General understanding of other national systems
- Concrete ideas and examples for possible implementation in Sweden:

1. Develop a national organisational structure that encompasses the funding agencies as well as the governmental offices to create a better communication and knowledge transfer on all levels.

asd

MLE: Lessons for Sweden

- General understanding of other national systems
- Concrete ideas and examples for possible implementation in Sweden:
 2. Use the new national research programmes for mapping of stakeholders and impact monitoring

MLE: Lessons for Sweden

- General understanding of other national systems
- Concrete ideas and examples for possible implementation in Sweden:
 3. Encourage the national JPI actors to set up broad and inclusive reference groups.

MLE: Lessons for Sweden

- General understanding of other national systems
- Concrete ideas and examples for possible implementation in Sweden:
 4. Increase monitoring of impact of the JPIs by making use of the enhanced functionality of a newly launched application and monitoring database system.

Major future challenges for Sweden

- Disseminating MLE result
- Stakeholder and end-user involvement in tackling societal challenges with research
- Capitalize on opportunities for National Research Programmes
- Sync national efforts in tackling societal challenges with ERA, JPIs and FP9

