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Procedure

- A template for the report will be distributed with:
  - Specific questions to guide your short descriptions in those parts of the report where you are ready to provide input.
  - Input from Norway will already be filled as examples.
  - Your own input in earlier rounds will be filled in wherever relevant.

- In the same response, you may suggest topics for the discussion on *Bibliometrics in PRFS* that you regard as particularly relevant for the meeting in Rome on 13th of March.
Proposed structure of the report on Bibliometrics in PRFS

1. Introduction
2. Bibliometrics in the national context
3. Bibliometrics in the PRFS
4. Data sources
5. Indicators
6. Effects on allocation of funds
7. Local use and effects
8. Sources of documentation and evaluation
9. Relevant links and literature
Introduction

1. Introduction
   1) General definition of bibliometrics; main areas of use
   2) Specific definition of bibliometrics for PRFS
   3) Typology of use with examples of countries
1. Introduction

2. Bibliometrics in the national context
   1) Main actors in performing bibliometrics
   2) Main areas of use (e.g. statistics indicators, research assessment, research administration, external funding, PRFS, ...)

Horizon 2020 Policy Support Facility
Bibliometrics in the PRFS  
(all countries respond until 3.1)

1. Introduction
2. Bibliometrics in the national context

3. Bibliometrics in the PRFS
   1. Implemented/not implemented? When and why/why not.
   3. Main actors in design and implementation.
   4. Main actors in organization and operation.
   5. The weight of bibliometrics within the total institutional funding scheme: Proportion not performance-based? Are there other performance indicators for research? For other missions?
Data sources

1. Introduction
2. Bibliometrics in the national context
3. Bibliometrics in the PBFS

4. Data sources
   1) Web of Science? Scopus? Other international sources?
   2) National or local research information systems?
   3) Combinations?
Indicators

1. Introduction
2. Bibliometrics in the national context
3. Bibliometrics in the PBFS
4. Data sources
5. Indicators
   1. Citation indicators?
   2. Respecting field differences?
   3. Weighing of publication types?
   4. Ranking of publication channels?
   5. Co-authored publications?
   6. Publications with more than one affiliation?
   7. Open Access?
Effects on allocation of funds

1. Introduction
2. Bibliometrics in the national context
3. Bibliometrics in the PBFS
4. Data sources
5. Indicators

6. Effects on allocation of funds
   1) Latest funding allocation among institutions compared to the situation three or more years ago.
   2) Comments on the general distribution and trend, and on the relative influence of bibliometrics in the distribution.
Local use and effects

1. Introduction
2. Bibliometrics in the national context
3. Bibliometrics in the PBFS
4. Data sources
5. Indicators
6. Effects on allocation of funds

7. Local use and effects
   1) Documented in studies or evaluations? If yes, main results
   2) Debates about local use and effects? If yes, most important considerations and proposed or implemented solutions.
References and links

1. Introduction
2. Bibliometrics in the national context
3. Bibliometrics in the PBFS
4. Data sources
5. Indicators
6. Effects on allocation of funds
7. Local use and effects

8. **Sources of documentation and evaluation**
   1) Main documents and information sources

9. **Relevant links and literature**
   1) In English and the native language
Procedure

- A template for the report will be distributed with:
  - Specific questions to guide your short descriptions in those parts of the report where you are ready to provide input.
  - Input from Norway will already be filled in as examples.
  - Your own input in earlier rounds will be filled in wherever relevant.

- In the same response, you may suggest topics for the discussion on *Bibliometrics in PRFS* that you regard as particularly relevant for the meeting in Rome on 13\textsuperscript{th} of March.